arXiv:math/0412055v1 [math.AC] 2 Dec 2004

ON CERTAIN MONOMIAL SEQUENCES

ZHONGMING TANG

Abstract. We give equivalent conditions for a monomial sequence to be a d-sequence or a proper sequence, and a sufficient condition for a monomial sequence to be an s-sequence in order to compute invariants of the symmetric algebra of the ideal generated by it.

1. Introduction

d-Sequences, proper sequences and s-sequences are three kind sequences related to symmetric algebras. Firstly, let us recall their definitions. Let R be a commutative Noetherian ring and $a_1, \ldots, a_n \in R$. We say that $a_1, \ldots, a_n$ is a d-sequence if $a_1, \ldots, a_n$ is a minimal generating set of the ideal $(a_1, \ldots, a_n)$ and

$$(a_1, \ldots, a_i) : a_{i+1}a_k = (a_1, \ldots, a_i) : a_k,\ i = 0, \ldots, n-1,\ k \geq i+1,$$

cf., [4]. Proper sequences were introduced in [3]. $a_1, \ldots, a_n$ is called a proper sequence if

$$a_{i+1}H_j(a_1, \ldots, a_i; R) = 0,\ i = 0, \ldots, n-1,\ j > 0,$$

where $H_j(a_1, \ldots, a_i; R)$ denotes the j-th Koszul homology of $a_1, \ldots, a_i$. It is shown in [6] that $a_1, \ldots, a_n$ is a proper sequence if and only if $a_{i+1}H_1(a_1, \ldots, a_i; R) = 0$, $i = 0, \ldots, n-1$. We will use this condition as an equivalent definition of proper sequences. Recently, a new sequence, so-called s-sequence, was introduced in [2] to study symmetric algebras. Let $M = (f_1, \ldots, f_n)$ be a finitely generated R-module with relation matrix $(a_{ij})_{m\times n}$. Then

$$\mathrm{Sym}(M) = R[y_1, \ldots, y_n]/J,$$

where $J = (g_1, \ldots, g_m)$ and $g_i = \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij}y_j$, $i = 1, \ldots, m$. Let $<$ be a monomial order on the monomials in $y_1, \ldots, y_n$ with the property $y_1 < \cdots < y_n$. Set $I_i = (f_1, \ldots, f_{i-1}) : f_i$. Then $(I_1y_1, \ldots, I_ny_n) \subseteq \mathrm{in}_<(J)$. We call $f_1, \ldots, f_n$ an s-sequence (with respect to $<$) if

$$\mathrm{in}_<(J) = (I_1y_1, \ldots, I_ny_n).$$

If, in addition $I_1 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq I_n$, then $f_1, \ldots, f_n$ is called a strong s-sequence.

In this paper, we consider monomial d-sequences, proper sequences and s-sequences. Throughout let $R = K[x_1, \ldots, x_m]$ be a polynomial ring, where K is a field.

In the monomial case, s-sequences can be characterized by Gröbner bases. Let $f_1, \ldots, f_n \in R$ be monomials. For any $i \neq j$, denote the greatest common divisor of f_i and f_j by $[f_i, f_j]$ and set $f_{ij} = \frac{f_i}{[f_i, f_j]}$. Then J is generated by $g_{ij} := f_{ij}y_j - f_{ji}y_i$, $1 \leq i < j \leq n$, and $f_1, \ldots, f_n$ is an s-sequence if and only if g_{ij}, $1 \leq i < j \leq n$, form

---

Key words and phrases. d-sequences, proper sequences, s-sequences, symmetric algebras.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 13A99,13P10

Supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China.

a Gröbner basis with respect to some monomial order $<$ such that $y_1 < \cdots < y_n$ and $x_i < y_j$ for any i, j, cf.,[2]. In the following, we will assume such an order.

In sections 2 and 3, we will characterize monomial d-sequences and proper sequences. Let $f_1, \ldots, f_n$ be a minimal monomial sequence. We show that $f_1, \ldots, f_n$ is a d-sequence if and only if $[f_i, f_j] \mid f_k$, for all $i < j < k$, and $[f_i, f_j] = [f_i, f_j^2]$, for all $i < j$, while for $f_1, \ldots, f_n$ to be a proper sequence it is equivalent to that $[f_i, f_j] \mid f_k$, for all $i < j < k$. Section 4 is devoted to discuss monomial s-sequences. In [2], a first sufficient condition is given. In this paper we introduce other sufficient conditions. In particular we study the condition $[f_i, f_j] \mid f_k$, for any $i < j < k$. For this sequence, very strong properties of the annihilator ideals follow, in particular to be a strong s-sequence. We give a sufficient condition for a monomial sequence to be an s-sequence which is satisfied by strong s-sequences and show that this condition is also necessary in the case the sequence is squarefree and has 4 elements. Finally, we deduce some properties of the symmetric algebra of an ideal generated by a strong monomial s-sequence.

2. Monomial d-Sequences

In this section, we will give a characterization of monomial d-sequence.

Let $f_1, \ldots, f_n \in R$ be a monomial sequence. We say that $f_1, \ldots, f_n$ is minimal if it is a minimal generating set of the ideal $(f_1, \ldots, f_n)$, which is equivalent to that there is no $i \neq j$ such that $f_i \mid f_j$.

By definition, $f_1, \ldots, f_n$ is a d-sequence if and only if

$$(f_1, \ldots, f_i) : f_{i+1}f_k = (f_1, \ldots, f_i) : f_k,\ i = 1, \ldots, n-1,\ k \geq i+1.$$

Note that, for any monomial sequence $g_1, \ldots, g_m, g_{m+1} \in R$, $(g_1, \ldots, g_m) : g_{m+1} = (\frac{g_1}{[g_1, g_{m+1}]}, \ldots, \frac{g_m}{[g_m, g_{m+1}]})$. Then $f_1, \ldots, f_n$ is a d-sequence if and only if

$$\left(\frac{f_1}{[f_1, f_{i+1}f_k]}, \ldots, \frac{f_i}{[f_i, f_{i+1}f_k]}\right) = \left(\frac{f_1}{[f_1, f_k]}, \ldots, \frac{f_i}{[f_i, f_k]}\right),\ i = 1, \ldots, n-1,\ k \geq i+1.$$

The sufficient part of the following theorem is observed in [3], in which it is shown that, when $[f_i, f_j] = [f_i, f_j^2]$ for all $i < j$, $f_1, \ldots, f_n$ is a d-sequence if and only if $[f_i, f_j] \mid f_k$ for all $i < j < k$.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $f_1, \ldots, f_n$ be a monomial sequence. Then $f_1, \ldots, f_n$ is a d-sequence if and only if there is no $i \neq j$ such that $f_i \mid f_j$ and*

$$[f_i, f_j] \mid f_k,\ 1 \leq i < j < k \leq n,$$
$$[f_i, f_j] = [f_i, f_j^2],\ 1 \leq i < j \leq n.$$

Proof. Note that, for any $j \leq i$, $[f_j, f_{i+1}f_k] = [f_j, f_k]$ holds if $k = i+1$ and $[f_j, f_k] = [f_j, f_k^2]$, or if $k > i+1$, $[f_j, f_k^2] = [f_j, f_k]$ and $[f_j, f_{i+1}f_k] \mid [f_j, f_k^2]$ which is satisfied if $[f_j, f_{i+1}] \mid f_k$. Then the sufficient part follows.

Now we show the necessary part. We use induction on n. When $n = 2$, from $(\frac{f_1}{[f_1, f_2^2]}) = (\frac{f_1}{[f_1, f_2]})$ we see immediately that $[f_1, f_2] = [f_1, f_2^2]$. Assume that $n > 2$ and the result is true for $n-1$, thus $[f_i, f_j] \mid f_k$ for all $i < j < k \leq n-1$ and $[f_i, f_j] = [f_i, f_j^2]$ for all $i < j \leq n-1$. We are going to show that $[f_i, f_j] \mid f_n$ for all $i < j \leq n-1$ and $[f_i, f_n] = [f_i, f_n^2]$ for all $i \leq n-1$.

We first use induction on j to show that $[f_i, f_j] \mid f_n$ for all $i < j \leq n-1$. When $j = 2$, from $(\frac{f_1}{[f_1, f_2 f_n]}) = (\frac{f_1}{[f_1, f_n]})$ we have $[f_1, f_n] = [f_1, f_2 f_n]$, but $[f_1, f_2] \mid [f_1, f_2 f_n]$, hence $[f_1, f_2] \mid [f_1, f_n]$, so $[f_1, f_2] \mid f_n$. Now assume that $j > 2$. For any $i < j \leq n-1$, from

$$\left(\frac{f_1}{[f_1, f_j f_n]}, \dots, \frac{f_{j-1}}{[f_{j-1}, f_j f_n]}\right) = \left(\frac{f_1}{[f_1, f_n]}, \dots, \frac{f_{j-1}}{[f_{j-1}, f_n]}\right),$$

we have

$$\frac{f_i}{[f_i, f_j f_n]} \in \left(\frac{f_1}{[f_1, f_n]}, \dots, \frac{f_{j-1}}{[f_{j-1}, f_n]}\right).$$

Then $\frac{f_k}{[f_k, f_n]} \mid \frac{f_i}{[f_i, f_j f_n]}$ for some $k \leq j-1$. We claim that $k = i$. If $k \neq i$, then, by induction hypothesis on n, $[f_k, f_i] \mid f_j$, thus $[f_k, f_i] \mid [f_i, f_j f_n]$. By induction hypothesis on j, $[f_k, f_i] \mid [f_k, f_n]$, hence $\frac{f_k}{[f_k, f_n]}$ and $\frac{f_i}{[f_i, f_j f_n]}$ are coprime. Then $\frac{f_k}{[f_k, f_n]} = 1$, hence $f_k \mid f_n$, a contradiction. Hence $\frac{f_i}{[f_i, f_n]} \mid \frac{f_i}{[f_i, f_j f_n]}$, thus $[f_i, f_j f_n] \mid [f_i, f_n]$, but $[f_i, f_j] \mid [f_i, f_j f_n]$, we have $[f_i, f_j] \mid f_n$, as required.

Now we show that $[f_i, f_n] = [f_i, f_n^2]$ for all $i \leq n-1$. From

$$\left(\frac{f_1}{[f_1, f_n^2]}, \dots, \frac{f_{n-1}}{[f_{n-1}, f_n^2]}\right) = \left(\frac{f_1}{[f_1, f_n]}, \dots, \frac{f_{n-1}}{[f_{n-1}, f_n]}\right),$$

we have

$$\frac{f_i}{[f_i, f_n^2]} \in \left(\frac{f_1}{[f_1, f_n]}, \dots, \frac{f_{n-1}}{[f_{n-1}, f_n]}\right).$$

Then there exists some $k \leq n-1$ such that $\frac{f_k}{[f_k, f_n]} \mid \frac{f_i}{[f_i, f_n^2]}$. We claim again that $k = i$. If $k \neq i$, then, as $[f_k, f_i] \mid f_n$, we have $[f_k, f_i] \mid [f_k, f_n]$ and also $[f_k, f_i] \mid [f_i, f_n^2]$, hence $\frac{f_k}{[f_k, f_n]}$ and $\frac{f_i}{[f_i, f_n^2]}$ are coprime. Then $\frac{f_k}{[f_k, f_n]} = 1$, so $f_k \mid f_n$, a contradiction. Hence $\frac{f_i}{[f_i, f_n]} \mid \frac{f_i}{[f_i, f_n^2]}$, thus $[f_i, f_n^2] \mid [f_i, f_n]$, so $[f_i, f_n] = [f_i, f_n^2]$. The proof is complete. □

We have immediately from 2.1 the following

Corollary 2.2. *Let $f_1, \dots, f_n$ be a monomial sequence. If $f_1, \dots, f_n$ is a d-sequence, then any subsequence of $f_1, \dots, f_n$ is also a d-sequence.*

3. MONOMIAL PROPER SEQUENCES

Let $f_1, \dots, f_n$ be a monomial sequence. Define homomorphisms d_1 and d_2 as follows

$$\begin{aligned} d_1 : \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} Re_i &\longrightarrow R \\ e_i &\mapsto f_i, \\ d_2 : \bigoplus_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} Re_{ij} &\longrightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} Re_i \\ e_{ij} &\mapsto f_j e_i - f_i e_j. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$H_1(f_1, \dots, f_n; R) = \mathrm{Ker}(d_1)/\mathrm{Im}(d_2),$$

and

$$\mathrm{Ker}(d_1) = (f_{ij} e_j - f_{ji} e_i : 1 \leq i < j \leq n).$$

The following theorem gives a characterization of monomial proper sequences.

Theorem 3.1. *Let* $f_1, \ldots, f_n$ *be a minimal monomial sequence. Then* $f_1, \ldots, f_n$ *is a proper sequence if and only if*

$$[f_i, f_j] \mid f_k, \text{ for all } 1 \leq i < j < k \leq n.$$

Proof. Let d_1 and d_2 be defined as above. Note that, for $r = 2, \ldots, n-1$, to show that $f_{r+1}H_1(f_1, \ldots, f_r; R) = 0$ is equivalent to prove that

$$f_{r+1}(f_{ij}e_j - f_{ji}e_i) \in \mathrm{Im}(d_2), \text{ for all } i < j \leq r.$$

Suppose that $[f_i, f_j] \mid f_k$, for all $i < j < k$. Then, for $r = 2, \ldots, n-1$ and any $i < j \leq r$,

$$\begin{aligned} f_{r+1}(f_{ij}e_j - f_{ji}e_i) &= \frac{f_{r+1}}{[f_i, f_j]}(f_ie_j - f_je_i) \\ &= d_2(-\frac{f_{r+1}}{[f_i, f_j]}e_{ij}) \in \mathrm{Im}(d_2). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $f_1, \ldots, f_n$ is a proper sequence.

Conversely, assume that $f_1, \ldots, f_n$ is a proper sequence. We use induction on $2 \leq r \leq n-1$ to show that

$$[f_i, f_j] \mid f_{r+1}, \text{ for all } i < j \leq r.$$

Let $r = 2$. By $f_3(f_{12}e_2 - f_{21}e_1) \in \mathrm{Im}(d_2)$, we have that $f_3(f_{12}e_2 - f_{21}e_1) = r(f_2e_1 - f_1e_2)$ for some $r \in R$. Then $f_3f_{12} = -rf_1$, hence $f_3 = -r[f_1, f_2]$, so, $[f_1, f_2] \mid f_3$.

Now assume that $r \geq 3$ and $[f_i, f_j] \mid f_k$ for all $i < j < k \leq r$, let us show that $[f_i, f_j] \mid f_{r+1}$ for all $i < j \leq r$. By assumption, for any $i < j \leq r$, $f_{r+1}(f_{ji}e_i - f_{ij}e_j) \in \mathrm{Im}(d_2)$, we see that

$$f_{r+1}f_{ij} \in (f_1, \ldots, \widehat{f_j}, \ldots, f_r).$$

Note that, if $[f_i, f_j] \nmid f_{r+1}$, then $f_i \nmid f_{r+1}f_{ij}$, hence $f_{r+1}f_{ij} \in (f_1, \ldots, \widehat{f_i}, \ldots, \widehat{f_j}, \ldots, f_r)$. Since, for any $1 \leq i, j \leq r$, $[f_i, f_j] \mid [f_i, f_r]$, we only need to show that $[f_i, f_r] \mid f_{r+1}$, $i = 1, \ldots, r-1$.

Assume the contrary. Then there exists $s \geq 1$ and $1 \leq k_1 < \cdots < k_s \leq r-1$ such that

$$[f_{k_i}, f_r] \nmid f_{r+1}, \ i = 1, \ldots, s,$$

and

$$[f_j, f_r] \mid f_{r+1}, \ j \neq k_1, \ldots, k_s.$$

As $[f_{k_i}, f_r] \nmid f_{r+1}$, we have that $f_{r+1}f_{k_i,r} \in (f_1, \ldots, \widehat{f_{k_i}}, \ldots, f_{r-1})$. For any $j \neq k_1, \ldots, k_s$, we claim that $f_j \nmid f_{r+1}f_{k_i,r}$. If $f_j \mid f_{r+1}f_{k_i,r}$, then, as $[f_j, f_{k_i}] \mid f_{r+1}$, $f_{j,k_i} \mid \frac{f_{r+1}}{[f_j,f_{k_i}]}f_{k_i,r}$, but $[f_{j,k_i}, f_{k_i,r}] = 1$, hence $f_{j,k_i} \mid \frac{f_{r+1}}{[f_j,f_{k_i}]}$, thus $f_j \mid f_{r+1}$, a contradiction. Hence $f_j \nmid f_{r+1}f_{k_i,r}$ for all $j \neq k_1, \ldots, k_s$. Thus

$$f_{r+1}f_{k_i,r} \in (f_{k_1}, \ldots, \widehat{f_{k_i}}, \ldots, f_{k_s}), \ i = 1, \ldots, s.$$

Note that $s \geq 2$. Let $\ell_1 = 1$. Then there exists $\ell_2 \neq \ell_1$ such that $f_{k_{\ell_2}} \mid f_{r+1}f_{k_{\ell_1},r}$ and there exists $\ell_3 \neq \ell_2$ such that $f_{k_{\ell_3}} \mid f_{r+1}f_{k_{\ell_2},r}$, here it is possible that $\ell_3 = \ell_1$. Note that $[f_{k_{\ell_2}}, f_{k_{\ell_3}}] \nmid f_{r+1}$, otherwise, by $f_{k_{\ell_3}} \mid f_{r+1}f_{k_{\ell_2},r}$ we have that $f_{k_{\ell_3},k_{\ell_2}} \mid$

$\frac{f_{r+1}}{[f_{k_{\ell_3}}, f_{k_{\ell_2}}]} f_{k_{\ell_2},r}$, but $[f_{k_{\ell_3},k_{\ell_2}}, f_{k_{\ell_2},r}] = 1$, hence $f_{\ell_3} \mid f_{r+1}$, a contradiction. On the other hand, since $[f_{k_{\ell_1}}, f_{k_{\ell_2}}] \mid [f_{k_{\ell_1}}, f_r]$ and also $[f_{k_{\ell_1}}, f_{k_{\ell_2}}] \mid [f_{k_{\ell_2}}, f_r]$, we have that $f_{k_{\ell_1},r} \mid f_{k_{\ell_1},k_{\ell_2}}$ and $f_{k_{\ell_2},r} \mid f_{k_{\ell_2},k_{\ell_1}}$. Then $f_{k_{\ell_2}} \mid f_{r+1} f_{k_{\ell_1},k_{\ell_2}}$ and $f_{k_{\ell_3}} \mid f_{r+1} f_{k_{\ell_2},k_{\ell_1}}$. Thus $[f_{k_{\ell_2}}, f_{k_{\ell_3}}] \mid f_{r+1} f_{k_{\ell_1},k_{\ell_2}}$ and also $[f_{k_{\ell_2}}, f_{k_{\ell_3}}] \mid f_{r+1} f_{k_{\ell_2},k_{\ell_1}}$, but $[f_{k_{\ell_1},k_{\ell_2}}, f_{k_{\ell_2},k_{\ell_1}}] = 1$, we get that $[f_{k_{\ell_2}}, f_{k_{\ell_3}}] \mid f_{r+1}$, a contradiction. □

Let $f_1, \dots, f_n$ be a monomial d-sequence. Since a d-sequence is a proper sequence, it follows from 3.1 that $[f_i, f_j] \mid f_k$ for all $i < j < k$. This gives another proof of $[f_i, f_j] \mid f_k$ in 2.1.

From 3.1, we have immediately

Corollary 3.2. *Let $f_1, \dots, f_n$ be a minimal monomial sequence. If $f_1, \dots, f_n$ is a proper sequence, then any subsequence of $f_1, \dots, f_n$ is also a proper sequence.*

We say a d-sequence (proper sequence) is unconditional if any permutation of it is also a d-sequence (proper sequence).

Corollary 3.3. *Let $f_1, \dots, f_n$ be a minimal monomial sequence.*

1. *$f_1, \dots, f_n$ is an unconditional proper sequence if and only if there exist monomials $d, g_1, \dots, g_n$ such that $f_i = dg_i$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, and $g_1, \dots, g_n$ is a regular sequence.*
2. *$f_1, \dots, f_n$ is an unconditional d-sequence if and only if there exist monomials $d, g_1, \dots, g_n$ such that $f_i = dg_i$, $[d, g_i] = 1$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, and $g_1, \dots, g_n$ is a regular sequence.*

Proof. (1) By 3.1, $f_1, \dots, f_n$ is an unconditional proper sequence if and only if

$$[f_i, f_j] = [f_i, f_k] = [f_j, f_k],\ i < j < k.$$

For any $i < j$, set $d = [f_i, f_j]$ and $g_i = \frac{f_i}{d}$. Then $[g_i, g_j] = 1$ for all $i \neq j$, which is equivalent to that $g_1, \dots, g_n$ is a regular sequence.

(2) In virtue of 2.1 and 3.1, $f_1, \dots, f_n$ is an unconditional d-sequence if and only if it is an unconditional proper sequence and $[f_i, f_j] = [f_i, f_j^2]$ for all $i < j$. Then, by (1), it is equivalent to that there exist monomials $d, g_1, \dots, g_n$ such that $f_i = dg_i$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, $g_1, \dots, g_n$ is a regular sequence and $[dg_i, d^2 g_j^2] = [dg_i, dg_j]$, but the latter identity is equivalent to $[d, g_i] = 1$ when $g_1, \dots, g_n$ is a regular sequence. □

Let $f_1, \dots, f_n$ be a squarefree minimal monomial sequence. Then $[f_i, f_j] = [f_i, f_j^2]$ holds for any $i < j$, thus (1) of following corollary is clear from 2.1 and 3.1, but note that a d-sequence is a proper sequence in general. Let $I = (f_1, \dots, f_n)$ and f_i^* the canonical image of f_i in $\mathrm{Sym}(I)$. Since $f_1^*, \dots, f_n^*$ is a d-sequence in $\mathrm{Sym}(I)$ if $f_1, \dots, f_n$ is a d-sequence by [5, Theorem 1.1] and that $f_1^*, \dots, f_n^*$ is a d-sequence in $\mathrm{Sym}(I)$ is equivalent to that $f_1, \dots, f_n$ is a proper sequence by [6, Theorem 2.2], the following (2) follows from (1).

Corollary 3.4. *Let $f_1, \dots, f_n$ be a squarefree minimal monomial sequence. Then*

1. *$f_1, \dots, f_n$ is a proper sequence if and only if $f_1, \dots, f_n$ is a d-sequence.*
2. *$f_1, \dots, f_n$ is a d-sequence if and only if $f_1^*, \dots, f_n^*$ is a d-sequence in Sym(I), where $I = (f_1, \dots, f_n)$.*

4. MONOMIAL S-SEQUENCES

Let $f_1, \dots, f_n$ be a monomial sequence. Proposition 1.7 of [2] states that $f_1, \dots, f_n$ is an s-sequence if $[f_{ij}, f_{kl}] = 1$ for all $i < j$, $k < l$, $i \neq k$ and $j \neq l$. The following theorem also gives a sufficient condition for a monomial sequence to be an s-sequence.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $f_1, \dots, f_n$ be a monomial sequence. For any $i < j$, $k < l$, $j < l$ and $k \neq i$, suppose that $[f_{ij}, f_{kl}] = 1$ or $f_{jl}[f_{ij}, f_{kl}] \mid f_{kl}f_{ji}$, or $f_{ki}[f_{ij}, f_{kl}] \mid f_{kl}f_{ji}$ in case $i > k$. Then $f_1, \dots, f_n$ is an s-sequence.*

Proof. For any $i < j$ and $k < l$, we need to show that all the S-pairs $S(g_{ij}, g_{kl})$ have standard expressions with zero remainder.

Note that

$$S(g_{ij}, g_{kl}) = \frac{f_{kl}f_{ji}}{[f_{ij}, f_{kl}]} y_i y_l - \frac{f_{lk}f_{ij}}{[f_{ij}, f_{kl}]} y_k y_j.$$

If $i = k$, then

$$S(g_{ij}, g_{kl}) = y_k\left(\frac{f_{kl}f_{jk}}{[f_{kj}, f_{kl}]} y_l - \frac{f_{lk}f_{kj}}{[f_{kj}, f_{kl}]} y_j\right).$$

As $S(g_{ij}, g_{kl}) = 0$ when $y_i = f_i$, $y_j = f_j$, $y_k = f_k$, and $y_l = f_l$, we see that $\frac{f_{kl}f_{jk}}{[f_{kj},f_{kl}]} y_l - \frac{f_{lk}f_{kj}}{[f_{kj},f_{kl}]} y_j$ is in the first syzygy of f_j, f_l, thus it is a multiple of g_{jl}. Then $S(g_{ij}, g_{kl})$ has a standard expression with zero remainder. Similarly, $S(g_{ij}, g_{kl})$ has a standard expression with zero remainder if $j = l$.

Now assume that $i < j$, $k < l$, $i \neq k$ and $j \neq l$, and assume that $j < l$. Then, by assumption, $\frac{f_{kl}f_{ji}}{[f_{ij},f_{kl}]}$ is divided by f_{jl} or f_{kl} or f_{ki} when $i > k$. If $\frac{f_{kl}f_{ji}}{[f_{ij},f_{kl}]}$ is divided by f_{jl}, then

$$S(g_{ij}, g_{kl}) = \frac{f_{kl}f_{ji}}{f_{jl}[f_{ij}, f_{kl}]} y_i g_{jl} + y_j\left(\frac{f_{lj}f_{kl}f_{ji}}{f_{jl}[f_{ij}, f_{kl}]} y_i - \frac{f_{lk}f_{ij}}{[f_{ij}, f_{kl}]} y_k\right),$$

but $\frac{f_{lj}f_{kl}f_{ji}}{f_{jl}[f_{ij},f_{kl}]} y_i - \frac{f_{lk}f_{ij}}{[f_{ij},f_{kl}]} y_k$ is in the first syzygy of f_i, f_k, so it is a multiple of g_{ik}, hence $S(g_{ij}, g_{kl})$ has a standard expression with zero remainder. Similarly, if $\frac{f_{kl}f_{ji}}{[f_{ij},f_{kl}]}$ is divided by f_{kl} or f_{ki} when $i > k$, $S(g_{ij}, g_{kl})$ has also a standard expression with zero remainder. □

Note that $\frac{f_{kl}f_{ji}}{[f_{ij},f_{kl}]}$ is divided by f_{jl} if $[f_i, f_j] \mid f_l$. Then we have the following

Corollary 4.2. *If, for any $i < j < l$, $k < l$, $k \neq i$, $[f_{ij}, f_{kl}] = 1$ or $[f_i, f_j] \mid f_l$, then $f_1, \dots, f_n$ is an s-sequence.*

Example 4.3. *There exist monomial sequences which do not satisfy the sufficient condition in* [2, Proposition 1.7], *but satisfy our new sufficient condition. Let $f_1 = x_1x_2x_3$, $f_2 = x_4x_5x_6$, $f_3 = x_2x_3x_7$ and $f_4 = x_7x_8x_9$. Then $[f_{13}, f_{24}] = [f_{23}, f_{14}] = 1$, $[f_{12}, f_{34}] = x_2x_3$ but $[f_1, f_2] \mid f_4$. Similarly, if we set $f_1 = x_1^2x_3^2x_4$, $f_2 = x_1x_5^3$, $f_3 = x_1^2x_4^2x_5^2$ and $f_4 = x_1^2x_3x_4x_5^2$, then $[f_{ij}, f_{kl}] = 1$ for all $i < j$, $k < l$, $j < l$ and $k \neq i$ but $[f_{12}, f_{34}] = x_4$, and $[f_1, f_2] \mid f_4$. Hence, by 4.2, $x_1x_2x_3, x_4x_5x_6, x_2x_3x_7, x_7x_8x_9$ and $x_1^2x_3^2x_4, x_1x_5^3, x_1^2x_4^2x_5^2, x_1^2x_3x_4x_5^2$ are s-sequences which do not satisfy the sufficient condition in* [2, Proposition 1.7]. *Furthermore, the sequence $f_1 = x_2x_3x_7$, $f_2 = x_1x_2x_3$, $f_3 = x_3x_4x_5x_6x_7$, $f_4 = x_7x_8x_9$ satisfy all the possibilities of the condition in 4.1 since $[f_{12}, f_{34}] = 1$, $[f_{13}, f_{24}] = x_2$, $[f_1, f_3] \nmid f_4$ but $f_{34}[f_{13}, f_{24}] \mid f_{24}f_{31}$, and $[f_{23}, f_{14}] = x_2$, $[f_2, f_3] \nmid f_4$ but $f_{12}[f_{23}, f_{14}] \mid f_{14}f_{32}$.*

Recall that $f_1, \dots, f_n$ is a strong s-sequence if it is an s-sequence and $I_2 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq I_n$, where $I_i = (f_1, \dots, f_{i-1}) : f_i$. Note that $I_i = (f_{1i}, \dots, f_{i-1,i})$.

Proposition 4.4. *Let $f_1, \dots, f_n$ be a monomial sequence.*

(1) *For any $i < j < k$, $[f_i, f_j] \mid f_k$ if and only if $[f_{ik}, f_{jk}] = 1$.*

(2) *If $[f_i, f_j] \mid f_k$ for all $i < j < k$, then $f_{1i}, \dots, f_{i-1,i}$ is a regular sequence for $i = 2, \dots, n$.*

(3) *If $[f_i, f_j] \mid f_k$ for all $i < j < k$, then $I_2 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq I_n$.*

Proof. (1) If $[f_i, f_j] \mid f_k$, then $[f_i, f_j] \mid [f_i, f_k]$ and $[f_i, f_j] \mid [f_j, f_k]$, but $f_{ik} = \frac{f_i}{[f_i, f_k]}$ and $f_{jk} = \frac{f_j}{[f_j, f_k]}$, we see that $[f_{ik}, f_{jk}] = 1$. Conversely, suppose that $[f_{ik}, f_{jk}] = 1$. Let $[f_i, f_j] = x_{a_1}^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_{a_r}^{\alpha_r}$. Then, for any $1 \leq t \leq r$, $x_{a_t}^{\alpha_t} \mid [f_i, f_k]$ or $x_{a_t}^{\alpha_t} \mid [f_j, f_k]$, hence $x_{a_t}^{\alpha_t} \mid f_k$ in two cases, it follows that $[f_i, f_j] \mid f_k$.

(2) By (1), for any $k < l < i$, $[f_{ki}, f_{li}] = 1$, thus $f_{1i}, \dots, f_{i-1,i}$ is a regular sequence.

(3) For any $i < j < k$, by assumption, $[f_i, f_j] \mid [f_i, f_k]$, hence $f_{ik} \mid f_{ij}$. Then $I_j \subseteq I_k$, the result follows. □

By 4.2 and 4.4(3), we have

Corollary 4.5. *If $[f_i, f_j] \mid f_l$ for all $i < j < l$, then $f_1, \dots, f_n$ is a strong s-sequence.*

Note that, since $f_1, \dots, f_n$ is a proper sequence if and only if it is a strong s-sequence (see [2]), we can also get the above corollary from 3.1 observing that we need not to assume that $f_1, \dots, f_n$ is minimal in the sufficient part of 3.1.

For the sequence $f_1 = x_1x_2x_3$, $f_2 = x_4x_5x_6$, $f_3 = x_2x_3x_7$, $f_4 = x_7x_8x_9$ in 4.3, since $[f_1, f_3] \nmid f_4$, f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4 is an s-sequence but not strong.

The following proposition states that a squarefree minimal monomial sequence is an s-sequence if it is 'strong'.

Proposition 4.6. *Let $f_1, \dots, f_n$ be a squarefree minimal monomial sequence. If $I_2 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq I_n$, then $[f_i, f_j] \mid f_k$ for all $i < j < k$.*

Proof. By induction on n. When $n = 3$, by $I_2 = (f_{12}) \subseteq I_3 = (f_{13}, f_{23})$, we have $f_{12} \in (f_{13}, f_{23})$. Then $f_{13} \mid f_{12}$ or $f_{23} \mid f_{12}$. If $f_{13} \mid f_{12}$ then $[f_1, f_2] \mid [f_1, f_3]$, hence $[f_1, f_2] \mid f_3$, thus it is enough to show that $f_{23} \nmid f_{12}$. Assume that $f_{23} \mid f_{12}$. Then $f_2 \mid f_{12}[f_2, f_3]$, but $[f_2, f_{12}] = 1$ as f_1, f_2 are squarefree, we have $f_2 \mid [f_2, f_3]$, hence $f_2 \mid f_3$, a contradiction.

Now assume that $n > 3$ and $[f_i, f_j] \mid f_k$ for all $i < j < k < n$. Let us show that $[f_i, f_j] \mid f_n$ for any $i < j < n$. Note that we may assume that $j = n - 1$, since if $j < n - 1$ then by $[f_i, f_j] \mid f_{n-1}$ we obtain that $[f_i, f_j] \mid [f_i, f_{n-1}]$. By assumption

$$I_{n-1} = (f_{1,n-1}, \dots, f_{n-2,n-1}) \subseteq I_n = (f_{1n}, \dots, f_{n-1,n}),$$

we have $f_{i,n-1} \in (f_{1n}, \dots, f_{n-1,n})$, hence $f_{i,n-1}$ is divided by some f_{jn}, $1 \leq j \leq n-1$. If $f_{in} \mid f_{i,n-1}$, then $[f_i, f_{n-1}] \mid [f_i, f_n]$, hence $[f_i, f_{n-1}] \mid f_n$. Thus it is enough to show that $f_{jn} \nmid f_{i,n-1}$ for any $j \neq i, j \leq n - 1$. Suppose that $f_{jn} \mid f_{i,n-1}$ then $f_j[f_i, f_{n-1}] \mid f_i[f_j, f_n]$. As $j \leq n - 1$ and $i < n - 1$, by induction hypothesis we have $[f_i, f_j] \mid f_{n-1}$. Then $[f_i, f_j] \mid [f_i, f_{n-1}]$, thus $f_j[f_i, f_j] \mid f_i[f_j, f_n]$, i.e., $f_j \mid f_{ij}[f_j, f_n]$, but $[f_j, f_{ij}] = 1$ as f_i, f_j are squarefree, hence $f_j \mid [f_j, f_n]$, so, $f_j \mid f_n$, a contradiction. □

Proposition 4.7. *The condition in 4.1 is necessary if $n = 4$ and the sequence is squarefree.*

Proof. Suppose that f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4 is a squarefree s-sequence. Let us show that $[f_{ij}, f_{kl}] = 1$ or $\frac{f_{kl}f_{ji}}{[f_{ij},f_{kl}]}$ is divided by f_{jl} or f_{ki} in case $i > k$ by $S(g_{ij}, g_{kl})$ has a standard expression with zero remainder.

First note that $[f_{ij}, f_{jl}] = 1$ as f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4 are squarefree. Thus it is enough to consider (i, j, k, l) is one of $(1, 2, 3, 4)$, $(1, 3, 2, 4)$ and $(2, 3, 1, 4)$. We need to show that $[f_{12}, f_{34}] = 1$ or $f_{24}[f_{12}, f_{34}] \mid f_{21}f_{34}$ in the first case, $[f_{13}, f_{24}] = 1$ or $f_{34}[f_{13}, f_{24}] \mid f_{31}f_{24}$ in the second case and $[f_{14}, f_{23}] = 1$ or $f_{34}[f_{14}, f_{23}] \mid f_{32}f_{14}$ or $f_{12}[f_{14}, f_{23}] \mid f_{32}f_{14}$ in the third case. We will give the proof of the first case and omit the similar proofs of the second and third cases. The following arguments do not depend on the assumption that the sequence is squarefree.

Note that, to get a standard expression of $S(g_{ij}, g_{kl})$ is equivalent to find some g_{st} whose initial term divides the initial term of $S(g_{ij}, g_{kl})$ and substitute a proper multiple of g_{st} such that the remaindered polynomial has smaller initial term, then do the same for this polynomial and so on.

Suppose that $S(g_{12}, g_{34})$ has a standard expression with zero remainder. Then the initial term of

$$S(g_{12}, g_{34}) = \frac{f_{21}f_{34}}{[f_{12}, f_{34}]}y_1y_4 - \frac{f_{12}f_{43}}{[f_{12}, f_{34}]}y_2y_3$$

is divided by the initial term of g_{34} or g_{24} or g_{14}, i.e., $\frac{f_{21}f_{34}}{[f_{12},f_{34}]}$ is divided by f_{34} or f_{24} or f_{14}. In the first case, we have $[f_{12}, f_{34}] = 1$. Now assume that the third case, then

$$S(g_{12}, g_{34}) = \frac{f_{21}f_{34}f_{41}}{f_{14}[f_{12}, f_{34}]}y_1^2 - \frac{f_{12}f_{43}}{[f_{12}, f_{34}]}y_2y_3 + \frac{f_{21}f_{34}}{f_{14}[f_{12}, f_{34}]}y_1g_{14}.$$

Thus $\frac{f_{12}f_{43}}{[f_{12},f_{34}]}y_2y_3$ should be divided by the initial term of g_{12} or g_{13} or g_{23}, i.e., $\frac{f_{12}f_{43}}{[f_{12},f_{34}]}$ is divided by f_{12} or f_{13} or f_{23}. In the first case, we have $[f_{12}, f_{34}] = 1$. In the second case, from the first equality of $S(g_{12}, g_{34})$, we have

$$S(g_{12}, g_{34}) = y_1(\frac{f_{21}f_{34}}{[f_{12}, f_{34}]}y_4 - \frac{f_{12}f_{43}f_{31}}{f_{13}[f_{12}, f_{34}]}y_2) - \frac{f_{12}f_{43}}{f_{13}[f_{12}, f_{34}]}y_2g_{13}.$$

As $\frac{f_{21}f_{34}}{[f_{12},f_{34}]}y_4 - \frac{f_{12}f_{43}f_{31}}{f_{13}[f_{12},f_{34}]}y_2$ is in the first syzygy of f_4, f_2, we have that $\frac{f_{21}f_{34}}{[f_{12},f_{34}]}$ is divided by f_{24}. Finally, assume that $\frac{f_{12}f_{43}}{[f_{12},f_{34}]}$ is divided by f_{23}. Then

$$S(g_{12}, g_{34}) = \frac{f_{21}f_{34}f_{41}}{f_{14}[f_{12}, f_{34}]}y_1^2 - \frac{f_{12}f_{43}f_{32}}{f_{23}[f_{12}, f_{34}]}y_2^2 - \frac{f_{12}f_{43}}{f_{23}[f_{12}, f_{34}]}y_2g_{23} + \frac{f_{21}f_{34}}{f_{14}[f_{12}, f_{34}]}y_1g_{14}.$$

Thus, we must have $f_{12} \mid \frac{f_{12}f_{43}f_{32}}{f_{23}[f_{12},f_{34}]}$, hence $\frac{f_{12}f_{43}f_{32}f_{21}}{f_{12}f_{23}[f_{12},f_{34}]} \in R$, but $\frac{f_{12}f_{43}f_{32}f_{21}}{f_{12}f_{23}[f_{12},f_{34}]} = \frac{f_{12}f_{43}f_{31}}{f_{13}[f_{12},f_{34}]}$. Then $f_{13} \mid \frac{f_{12}f_{43}}{[f_{12},f_{34}]} \cdot f_{31}$, but $[f_{13}, f_{31}] = 1$, we have $f_{13} \mid \frac{f_{12}f_{43}}{[f_{12},f_{34}]}$, reduce to the second case. Hence, in any case, we have $[f_{12}, f_{34}] = 1$ or $f_{24}[f_{12}, f_{34}] \mid f_{21}f_{34}$. □

Finally, we consider the symmetric algebra of the ideals generated by strong s-sequences.

Theorem 4.8. *Let $f_1, \dots, f_n$ be a monomial sequence and $I = (f_1, \dots, f_n)$. Suppose that $[f_i, f_j] \mid f_k$ for all $i < j < k$. Then*

(1) *Sym(I) is Cohen-Macaulay of dimension $m + 1$;*

(2) $e(Sym(I)) = \sum_{i=2}^{n} \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} deg(f_{ji})$;

(3) *When* $f_1, \ldots, f_n$ *have the same degree,*

$$reg(Sym(I)) \leq \max_{2\leq i\leq n}\{\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} deg(f_{ji}) - (i-2)\}.$$

Proof. By assumption, $f_1, \ldots, f_n$ is a strong s-sequence. Then from 4.4(2) we see that $\dim(R/I_i) = \operatorname{depth}(R/I_i) = \dim(R) - i + 1$, $i = 2, \ldots, n$. Hence, by [2, Propositions 2.4 and 2.6], we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dim(\mathrm{Sym}(I)) &= \max_{2\leq i\leq n}\{\dim(R/I_i) + i\} = \dim(\mathrm{R}) + 1 = m + 1 \\ \operatorname{depth}(\mathrm{Sym}(I)) &\geq \min_{2\leq i\leq n}\{\operatorname{depth}(R/I_i) + i\} = \dim(\mathrm{R}) + 1 = m + 1 \\ e(\mathrm{Sym}(I)) &= \sum_{2\leq i\leq n} e(R/I_i), \end{aligned}$$

and, when $f_1, \ldots, f_n$ have the same degree,

$$\operatorname{reg}(\mathrm{Sym}(I)) \leq \max_{2\leq i\leq n}\{\operatorname{reg}(I_i)\}.$$

Then $\mathrm{Sym}(I)$ is Cohen-Macaulay of dimension $m + 1$, note that from [3, Corollary 5.10] and the remark in front of it we can also conclude that $\operatorname{depth}(\mathrm{Sym}(I)) \geq \operatorname{depth}(R) + 1$. Since I_i is generated by the regular sequence $f_{1i}, \ldots, f_{i-1,i}$, it is well-known that

$$\begin{aligned} e(R/I_i) &= \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} \deg(f_{ji}), \\ \operatorname{reg}(I_i) &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \deg(f_{ji}) - (i-2), \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} e(\mathrm{Sym}(I)) &= \sum_{i=2}^{n}\prod_{j=1}^{i-1} \deg(f_{ji}), \\ \operatorname{reg}(\mathrm{Sym}(I)) &\leq \max_{2\leq i\leq n}\{\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \deg(f_{ji}) - (i-2)\}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Acknowledgements. This paper was carried out during the author's visit to the Mathematics Department of Kansas University. The author is grateful to Professors Craig Huneke and Daniel Katz for helpful conversations and to the department for its hospitality. The author is also grateful to the referee for his/her good comments.

References

[1] D. Eisenbud,Commutative Algebra with a view towards algebraic geometry, Graduate Texts Math. 150, Springer, 1995.

[2] J. Herzog, G. Restuccia, Z. Tang, s-Sequences and symmetric algebras, Manuscripta math. 104(2001) 479-501.

[3] J. Herzog, A. Simis, W. Vasconcelos, Approximation complexes and blowing-up rings, J. of Alg. 74(2) (1982) 466-493.

[4] C. Huneke, The theory of d-sequences and powers of ideals, Adv. in Math. 46(1982) 249-279.

[5] C. Huneke, Symbolic powers of prime ideals and special graded algebras, Comm. Alg. 9(1981) 339-366.

[6] M.Kühl, On the symmetric algebra of an ideal, Manuscripta math. 37(1982) 49-60.

Department of Mathematics
Suzhou University
Suzhou 215006
P. R. China
E-mail: zmtang@suda.edu.cn